

ADULT CYBER ABUSE COMPLAINT : ACA-2025-0729927

Complaint eligibility

Does this matter relate to intimate, nude or sexual images of you that have been shared without your consent?

No

Does the person who has been targeted by the material reside in Australia?

Yes

Which age bracket best describes the how old the target of the material was when the material was posted?

25-39

Is this complaint about material targeting you?

It's about material targeting me

What is happening

How are you feeling

Do you have someone you can talk to about how this is making you feel?

No

How can we help you?

Do you have any concerns about us contacting the person that posted the material?

No

Please indicate which of the following behaviours are involved in your complaint. You may select more than one

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Doxing | ☒ Threats of violence |
| ☒ Incitement of violence | ☒ Lies/defamation |
| ☒ Other abusive behaviour | |

What is happening?

Try to tell us in as much detail what is going on

I run a small Australian software business (Legion Killfeed / DayZ Multi Tool). For about three years I have been the target of a coordinated harassment and defamation campaign by a small group of competitors and their associates (handles including Kamy/Kami/Mathew, havasu/Links/Linksy/pups and OzzieHousos/UntoldTruthy/Anthony Buchanan).

They use multiple platforms to repeat the same false allegations about me personally (calling me a scammer, dangerous, mentally unstable, even a “pedo”) and about my products (claiming my software does things that are technically impossible, like wiping whole Discord servers). They also encourage others to avoid my services, cancel subscriptions and join in the pile-on. This has happened on Discord, Facebook, Reddit, review sites (especially Trustpilot), and other gaming-related communities.

The behaviour is coordinated and repeated: when one piece of content is removed or disproved, the same people or their friends post a new version elsewhere, or under a different name, often admitting in private chats that it’s retaliation or part of a “campaign” against me. I have more than 1,700 items of evidence (screenshots, logs, PDFs, emails) showing the same people recycling the same lies across platforms and time.

All major platforms involved have received some form of cease-and-desist or formal legal-style complaint from me. In each case I clearly identified myself, the specific URLs/posts, why they are false and harmful, and that this conduct is part of an ongoing harassment campaign. Some platforms have done partial removals, but the abuse continues and the same actors simply move or rewrite it.

Trustpilot is a key example of the wider problem. They have hosted multiple fake “reviews” from these competitors. Even after I provided technical proof that some of the alleged events are impossible and that the “reviewers” are not genuine customers, staff have told me in writing that they “cannot remove content based on legal arguments” and will only apply internal guidelines. They have also disabled or looped my ability to flag some reviews, silently altered visible review histories, and then announced they will no longer respond while leaving proven false content online.

Overall, what is happening is a long-running, cross-platform harassment and disinformation campaign by identifiable individuals, combined with platforms

refusing to properly act even after clear notice. The result is serious and ongoing harm to my reputation, business and mental health.

They have openly used images of my children in these ongoing attacks and I have since found out the ringleader Mathew Trojanowski a US resident lives in a house with a registered sex offender so the fact that he has had photos of my children on his phone for 2+ years is seriously concerning. They openly state they want me to commit suicide, they tell me to go live on facebook and hang myself, post everywhere calling me a pedophile and it's getting to the point that even with all the proof I have, nobody cares. I reported this to you in 2024 & in 2025, I probably didn't use the best language because the torment I've gone through has me very aggressive when no one will listen or I finally make it past all the AI bullshit support and the human staff says 3 sentences, doesn't read anything and makes it as solved. It's extremely degrading.

If we require further information, can we call you to discuss your complaint further?

Yes

Where's it happening

Where has the cyber abuse been happening? (on what platform)

Provider name

Reddit

Have you reported to the provider?

Yes

When did you report?

19/08/2024

Is the material still online?

Yes

Please provide URLs where we can see the material

https://reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/legion_kill_feed_whats_souls_issue/

Your username

Soul_Lags

Please provide username(s) of the person posting the material (one per line)

**Obviously_Mario, The_only_on, MongooseCandid2716, Hot_Ad6729,
Brief_Ordinary1438, MASSIVE19**

Do you have evidence of the report you made to the provider?

Yes

Please select attachments to upload

2025-11-21-reddit-can-suck-a-dick.pdf, 2024-08-19-reported-august-2024.pdf, 2021-08-09-tiled-proof-kami-competitor-slander-reddit-facebook.jpg

Provider name

Discord

Have you reported to the provider?

Yes

When did you report?

17/10/2025

Is the material still online?

Yes

Please provide URLs where we can see the material

**<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/842483634191073312>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1276935095520985132>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1280741622568583178>**

<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1280741627627049064>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1280741639228227594>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1280741655900717107>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1280741656550834228>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1355855139386298428>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1355855148928336042>
<https://discord.com/channels/667103424147488773/830804914434867280/1355855155068796968>

Your username

legion.dev

Please provide username(s) of the person posting the material (one per line)

kamikaze420, crixmadinejr, stfudick, jeff_is_boss, ozziehouso, ozziehouso., Paggaslaan, Mr Tech N9ne, King Geektheif, donmatraca

Do you have evidence of the report you made to the provider?

Yes

Please select attachments to upload

2025-11-30-raised-under-developers-this-time.pdf, 2025-11-24-discord-still-ignoring-this-ticket.pdf, 2024-01-08-the-first-strike-42297180-redacted.pdf

Provider name

Facebook

Have you reported to the provider?

Yes

When did you report?

2/11/2025

Is the material still online?

Yes

Please provide URLs where we can see the material

**<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1GYp63c7zd/>
<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17vdHS9qbA/>
<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AQYukcbKf/>**

Your username

Anthony Gordon Brodie

Please provide username(s) of the person posting the material (one per line)

Daniel Santos, Michael Jûştiçe, Matt Mcgloughin, Danny Hayes, Joel Walker

Do you have evidence of the report you made to the provider?

Yes

Please select attachments to upload

2025-10-07-facebook-oversights-board.pdf, 2025-08-09-facebookreport.jpg, 2025-11-22-meta-facebook-complaint.pdf

Provider name

Trustpilot

Have you reported to the provider?

Yes

When did you report?

1/12/2025

Is the material still online?

Yes

Please provide URLs where we can see the material

<https://www.trustpilot.com/reviews/692b14c5772dcebff6caec38>
<https://www.trustpilot.com/reviews/69388b660c0cfa1c08c29029>

Your username

killfeed.co

Please provide username(s) of the person posting the material (one per line)

Mathew Trojanowski AKA Kamy/Kamikaze, "Frank" aka Hooded_saw

Do you have evidence of the report you made to the provider?

Yes

Please select attachments to upload

2025-12-12-they-literally-are-hiding-proof_compressed.pdf, 2025-12-10-trustpilot-kamy-and-consumer.pdf, 2025-12-11-Re_SUICIDE_IDEATION_HARASSMENT_SO_I_DIE_OR_YOU_REPLY_False_Review_DayZMultiTool_Ticket_#39648298.pdf

About you

Your name and contact details

First name

Anthony

Last name

Brodie

Date of Birth

Email address

Phone number

Gender

Male

Are you of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander origin?

Aboriginal / Torres Strait Islander

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Suburb

NOWRA

Post Code

2541

State or Territory

NSW

For more information contact the **Office of the eSafety Commissioner**
W esafety.gov.au/

Your adult cyber abuse complaint has been successfully submitted [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive]

From eSafety Commissioner <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>

Date Fri 2025-12-12 12:20 PM

To legionkillfeed@outlook.com <legionkillfeed@outlook.com>

 1 attachment (218 KB)

Complaint Content.pdf;

Thank you for making a report to the eSafety Commissioner.

If you need help immediately

If you are in Australia and in immediate danger or at risk of harm, call emergency services on Triple Zero ([000](#)). If it's not an emergency, contact your local police on [131 444](#).

Please note that we are open during business hours on weekdays.

Your report

Your receipt number is: **ACA-2025-0729927**.

Your report will soon be allocated to a member of the Adult Cyber Abuse team for review. You should receive a response from us shortly once we have reviewed your report in more detail.

During our assessment of your report, we may need some additional information and/or documents from you. We will not take any action without your consent to do so.

Please note, providing links to entire social media accounts may not be sufficient. To allow us to assess the material as effectively and efficiently as possible, please provide specific URLs or screenshots of the material targeting you where possible (such as links to particular comments or posts). You can find information on our website's [how to collect evidence](#) page.

If the material you have provided us is in a language other than English, please provide us with an English translation.

What we do

The purpose of the adult cyber abuse scheme is to remove seriously harmful online content targeting Australian adults. We operate and are only able to seek the removal of material that meets the criteria of 'cyber-abuse targeted at an Australian adult' under the [Online Safety Act 2021](#).

More information about [dealing with adult cyber abuse](#) can be found on our website and our page [about what you can report to eSafety](#) outlines the threshold for investigation. You can also find further information about [what the adult cyber abuse team does and doesn't do](#) and our [regulatory guidance](#) that explains how we assess material reported to eSafety.

Please note that the Online Safety Act is not designed to assist with the removal of material that is intended to cause purely reputational harm. For example, negative online reviews of a business or false statements about a person's criminal history or character will generally not meet the threshold for eSafety to take removal action. For further information, we recommend reading our page [What's the difference between serious online abuse and defamation?](#)

If you need someone to talk to

1. Contact [Lifeline](#) on [13 11 14](#) if you are having thoughts about self-harm or need counselling support.
2. If your concern is related to a domestic violence issue, we encourage you to contact [1800 RESPECT \(1800 737 732\)](#) for counselling help.
3. Contact [Beyond Blue](#) on [1300 22 4636](#) for support and advice on where to get the help you need.

Kind regards,

Adult Cyber Abuse Team

E cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au

W www.esafety.gov.au



NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Re: Your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner - our reference ACA-2025-0729927 [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive]
CRM:0190734

From Soul Legion <legionkillfeed@outlook.com>

Date Tue 2025-12-16 11:39 PM

To Cyber Abuse <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>

 5 attachments (25 MB)

2025-12-16-trustpilot-caught-in-a-lie-00713084-received.pdf; 2025-12-16-they-rewrote-history-ticket-00713084.eml; 2025-12-16-trustpilot-caught-in-a-lie-00713084.pdf; 2025-12-16-trustpilot-update-claiming-kamy-deleted-ticket-00749991.pdf; 2025-12-16-i-can-trace-each-missing.png;

To the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme team,

I am lodging a further update and requesting you re-examine my complaint (ACA-2025-0729927 / CRM:0190734).

Since my contact with eSafety on 12 December 2025, Trustpilot's visible records and stated position have changed in a way that appears consistent with post-notice editing of the platform's moderation and flagging history.

I am asking eSafety to look into this again because a reasonable person can infer that these changes were made after eSafety involvement, and in-line with potential contact between eSafety and Trustpilot.

What has changed since my 12 Dec 2025 eSafety contact

1. Trustpilot is now asserting the reviewer "deleted" the review and using that to close the case
Trustpilot has taken the position that the matter is "resolved" because the reviewer deleted the review, and they are "unable to assess" further. That is not a satisfactory explanation when set against what their own system showed before and during that same period. It also functions as a convenient way to avoid addressing the substance of what I raised and the evidence I provided.
2. Trustpilot's "flagging activity" history appears to have been edited, including removal of key entries
I have preserved side-by-side screenshots of Trustpilot's "Flagging activity" list taken on 06-12-2025 and again on 16-12-2025 for the same review context. The later view no longer displays multiple entries that were visible in the earlier view. These are not minor formatting differences. The missing entries are material because they reflect decisions and outcomes that go directly to Trustpilot's handling of the review and my reporting of it.

To be blunt: it looks like the record was cleaned up after notice.

3. I can prove what they removed, and I can prove it two ways
I am not relying on screenshots alone.
- I have the details that are now missing from the dashboard history preserved in images.
 - If Trustpilot disputes authenticity, I also have emails sent to Trustpilot that already contained those same details at the time, meaning the content existed contemporaneously and was provided directly to them. This makes later claims of "we can't assess" or "we don't have it" highly questionable.

Why I'm escalating this to eSafety again

Even if Trustpilot tries to frame this as a normal moderation lifecycle, the practical effect is that a business-facing record of actions and decisions appears to have changed after regulatory notice. That raises evidence integrity concerns and goes directly to bad faith handling and obstruction.

If eSafety contacted Trustpilot (or Trustpilot anticipated that contact) after my 12 December submission, and Trustpilot subsequently altered what the business dashboard shows as the history, that is something eSafety should be aware of and should consider when evaluating Trustpilot's conduct and credibility in this matter.

What I want eSafety to do

1. Re-open or re-assess my complaint in light of this post-notice record change concern.
2. If eSafety has contacted Trustpilot about this complaint, I request that you treat this update as relevant context for that engagement.
3. If eSafety has not contacted Trustpilot, I request that you do so, specifically to require preservation and disclosure of the full internal audit trail for the relevant reviews and flags (not the edited business-facing view).
4. Please advise what authority is appropriate for potential post-notice alteration of platform records if eSafety cannot pursue that aspect under the scheme.

I am attaching the supporting material with this follow-up, including the Trustpilot email asserting "reviewer deleted" and the side-by-side evidence showing the missing/changed history entries. I can also forward the contemporaneous emails I sent to Trustpilot that already included the now-missing details, if needed as an authenticity anchor.

I also have videos of the same section at different stages since 2024 to present, most recently recorded on the 12th and 5th of December 2025, before that, 11th August 2025 and I know there's more in the 1900+ files I have related to this ongoing harassment where they specifically threaten to target my fiancé like on

<https://aggropvp.com> and have historically used images of my at the time 3 year old and 6 year old while the main person pushing this harassment is residing with a convicted sex offender, information found on public record in America (because I do not know him personally) and only when trying to find his parents, because I have even gone to the extent of showing their parents their behaviour in attempt to end it.

Regards,
Anthony Brodie (Soul Legion)
Owner, Legion Killfeed
killfeed.co

From: Soul Legion

Sent: Tuesday, 16 December 2025 1:05 PM

To: Cyber Abuse <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>

Subject: Re: Your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner - our reference ACA-2025-0729927 [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive]
CRM:0190734

Dear Cyber Abuse Team,

I am replying to your email advising my recent report does not meet the criteria for investigation under the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme, and that the matter will be closed.

I am requesting reconsideration and that this report be assessed in context as a continuation of my prior matter: ACA-2024-0528878 (CRM:0151474), not as an isolated “reputational harm” complaint.

What you have described as outside scope (negative business reviews, reputational harm, false statements about character) is not the actual issue I am asking you to assess.

This is a sustained, coordinated, multi-platform harassment campaign that has included doxxing, targeting involving my children, and ongoing conduct intended to menace, harass, and psychologically break me. The harm is cumulative, cross-platform, and persistent. The reporting form structure and limited text boxes could not capture that broader pattern.

Prior eSafety context, ACA-2024-0528878:

1. In June to July 2024 your office engaged Discord directly regarding the publication of my home address and an image involving my children. Your team advised there had been back and forth with Discord, that the other user was warned, and that you were confirming the removal of the address and the image.
2. I was advised at that time that if further content targeting me appeared online, I should submit a new complaint if I did not receive a satisfactory response from the platform.

That is exactly what has happened. The harassment did not stop. It expanded and has repeatedly reappeared across Discord, Meta/Facebook, Reddit, and Trustpilot. Platform reporting processes have repeatedly failed, and in several instances I have been the person sanctioned when I finally react under sustained provocation.

Why the “reputational harm” framing is not accurate here:

I understand the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme is not designed to adjudicate defamation disputes or remove ordinary criticism.

However, this is not ordinary criticism or a contained dispute about a business. The content and conduct include:

- coordinated targeted harassment across multiple services,
- publication of personal information (doxxing),
- targeting involving my children,
- ongoing abuse that escalates after reports are made,
- and a documented impact on my wellbeing that has progressed to active suicidal ideation.

I am not asking for sympathy. I am asking for a safety regulator response to an ongoing pattern of targeted abuse where platform processes have not stopped the behaviour and, in practice, have enabled it to continue.

The online form could not capture the full context:

Your email recommends using the Report online harm form and platform tools. I have done that repeatedly.

The limitation is that the form forces a complex, multi-platform campaign into a small, fragmented submission. It does not provide a practical way to present a single coherent chronology, cross-platform linkage, escalation after reports, or the full evidence volume that shows this is not isolated content.

I can provide:

- a chronological timeline showing the campaign pattern across platforms,
- a structured summary of the most serious incidents and their current URLs,
- and a curated evidence bundle that ties the incidents together as one continuing course of conduct.

What I am requesting from eSafety:

1. Reconsider the decision to close this report and assess it as linked to ACA-2024-0528878 (CRM:0151474).
2. Provide specific reasons which criterion you believe is not met (for example, whether you view the material as not “seriously harmful”, not “targeted”, or otherwise outside the scheme), so I can supply the exact information you need instead of guessing.
3. Advise what format you will accept to assess “pattern of behaviour” properly (for example, a single chronology document with key URLs, or a secure method to supply a larger evidence set).
4. If any part of what I have described falls outside the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme but within another eSafety scheme or pathway, tell me which one and what you require to refer or re-lodge appropriately, particularly where content involves my children.

This matter has already demonstrated it does not resolve by blocking and disengaging alone. I have disengaged, I have left spaces that were commercially important to me, and the targeting has continued and followed me.

Please confirm whether you will reopen or reassess this report in connection with ACA-2024-0528878, and what you need from me to allow you to evaluate the broader campaign rather than a single item in isolation.

Kind regards,

Anthony Brodie

Owner and developer, Legion Killfeed

<https://they-wish-i.died.space/public>

<https://they-wish-i.died.space/kids-unsafe-in-jackalope-dayz>

<https://they-wish-i.died.space/meta-support>

From: Cyber Abuse <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>

Sent: Tuesday, 16 December 2025 12:39 PM

To: Anthony Brodie

Subject: Your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner - our reference ACA-2025-0729927 [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive] CRM:0190734

Dear Anthony

Thank you for taking the time to put through a complaint to us. We're very sorry to hear that this has happened to you and we understand how upsetting an experience like this can be.

What we do

The purpose of the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme is to remove seriously harmful online content targeting Australian adults. We are only able to seek the removal of material that meets the criteria of “cyber-abuse targeted at an Australian adult” under the [Online Safety Act 2021](#).

The Act is not designed to assist with the removal of material that is intended to cause purely reputational harm. For example, negative online reviews of a business or false statements about a person's criminal history or character will generally not meet the threshold for eSafety to take removal action. For further information, we recommend reading our Regulatory Guidance, which can be found and downloaded under the heading "Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme" on this [page](#), and the blog on our website titled '[What's the difference between serious online abuse and defamation?](#)'.

For more information about the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme and how we assess material reported to us, we suggest reading our [Regulatory Guidance](#) or our [what the adult cyber abuse team does and doesn't do](#) page on our website.

Your report

We have reviewed your report and, unfortunately, it does not meet the criteria for us to investigate under this scheme. We recommend that you review our [options if eSafety can't investigate](#) page for more information about other prevention and protection strategies, including advice on engaging with platforms, links to other agencies and organisations and advice on managing the impacts of online harm.

If you have concerns or evidence of someone attempting to groom a child or is distributing sexually explicit material to them, we recommend you report this to [the Australian Centre to Counter Child Exploitation](#) (ACCCE)

General advice about online safety

To help manage online abuse, we also recommend the following:

- **Our general advice is not to engage with or respond to the abuse.** Responding or replying to abuse can inflame the situation.
- Take screenshots of the abuse and keep copies of the relevant URLs or message links.
- Report the abusive material / accounts directly to the social media service or email service – don't delete without reporting. Our [eSafety Guide](#) has information on how to report content on a range of social media services.
- Restrict or block the abusive accounts.
- Avoid looking at the material if it is causing you distress.
- Check your privacy settings are locked down to only allow people you know and trust. You can find more information on how to do this in the [eSafety Guide](#) on our website.

You can also read our website for more tips on [managing the impacts of online abuse](#).

Support services

This kind of experience can be distressing and can have an impact on your wellbeing. If you need support and would like to speak to someone about what has been happening, we recommend you reach out to [Beyond Blue](#) (1300 224 636) or [Lifeline](#) (13 11 14).

There are also lots of great online resources for helping people deal with the sort of feelings they may have been experiencing as a result of cyber abuse, such as [This Way Up](#) or [Head to Health](#).

Closing

As there appears to be no further action for us to take in this instance, we will now close this matter. If you would like to report new material, please make a report using our [Report online harm](#) form.

If you have any questions or would like further information or support, we are more than happy to assist you.

Kind regards

Cyber Abuse Team

The eSafety Commissioner

W www.esafety.gov.au



NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Re: Your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner - our reference ACA-2025-0729927 [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive]
CRM:0190737

From Soul Legion

Date Wed 2025-12-17 1:33 PM

To Cyber Abuse <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>

 19 attachments (7 MB)

Matthew_C_Trojanowski-486b7cdd3d3ab37.pdf; Paul_Ivan_Trojanowski-c569c46d6a43e32.pdf; Reddit - What's Souls Issue.pdf; 2025-09-19-dayz-skynet-www-mathewtrojanowski-com.pdf; 2025-12-17_google-search.png; 2025-12-16-busted-trustpilot.png; discorda.png; security-trails-contracts-you.png; 20240913-1608 - Ozzie Housos Calls Soul woman Basher.png; edward-anthony-griggs-jr-telling-soul-to-hang-himself.jpg; 2023-09-20-address-posted-website.jpg; 2023-10-12-called-me-a-paedo.jpg; 2025-09-08-skynetslegiondotcom.jpg; 2025-09-23-mathewtrojanowski-owns-skynet-2-0.jpg; 2025-09-24-mathewtrojanowskiwesite.jpg; 2025-09-29-aggropvpdotcom.jpg; 2025-11-09-mathew-makes-contact-via-facebook-further-harassing.png; 2025-11-09-mathew-messaged-souls-alt-on-facebook.png; 2025-11-09-mathew-messaged-souls-alt-on-facebook-profile.png;

Subject: Request for reconsideration – Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme report (ACA-2025-0729927, CRM:0190734)

Dear Cyber Abuse Team,

I'm asking you, as people, to please reconsider your decision to close my report and not investigate it under the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme (ACA-2025-0729927, CRM:0190734).

I understand you deal with a lot, but your reply felt like I was pushed through a template and dismissed as "reputational harm". What I'm living through is not a normal "bad review" dispute. It's a sustained, targeted harassment campaign across platforms, and Discord is the hub where it gets organised and then spills everywhere else.

Australia is currently moving toward stronger online safety settings for minors, including the Prime Minister's push to restrict under-16 access to certain services. This situation is a perfect example of why Discord cannot be treated as a loophole or an afterthought.

Please imagine a 14-year-old in the same position I'm in.

They do what they're told to do. They report it properly. They try the platform tools. They raise concerns calmly. Then the platform response is effectively a macro reply that ticks a box under whatever category you select, while the content stays up and the pile-on continues. Meanwhile people tell them, publicly, to go live on Facebook and hang themselves. That is not "reputation". That is a pathway to someone dying.

That's why I'm pleading with you to treat this as online safety, not optics.

Your office has acted before when this campaign crossed into doxxing and involved my children. That history matters. It shows you recognised the risk and the seriousness. It's hard to put into words how crushing it feels to be told now that you can't assist, and that no contact has been made with Trustpilot, when the abuse has escalated and spread and platform processes are failing in exactly the way the public is asking government to fix.

I can't afford a lawyer. I'm struggling to afford rent. I'm not sitting on money I can throw at litigation. I'm actively looking for a contingency-based lawyer, but realistically, this close to Christmas, hardly anyone has the time to take something like this on quickly. So when I'm told to "seek independent legal advice", it effectively means "go away and deal with it yourself" while the harassment continues.

I'm also not coping physically anymore. I'm covered in hives from stress. I can't escape it. I can't turn it off. I'm asking for help because the normal pathways are not working and I don't want to live like this.

Please, at minimum, have a human actually review the evidence and the context, not just the category label it gets forced into.

Please review the material here:

<https://they-wish-i.died.space>

If you need to use my case to demonstrate why Discord must be included in under-16 online safety reforms, and why platform "macro reply" enforcement is not protection, you have my consent. Use me if it helps drive policy change. I just need this to stop.

If this decision is not reconsidered, I will have to consider taking this public, because it raises a serious question: if my report can be dismissed in the face of 1945+ files of documentation related to adult cyber abuse, what chance does eSafety realistically have of upholding the under-16 changes when platforms are not enforcing even their own rules? If I find a lawyer before I end up speaking to media, it will come out through legal action anyway, and it will be on the record that you were told about this and I was sent generic wellbeing links instead of meaningful assistance.

Please tell me what you need from me to reopen this and progress it properly. If there is another eSafety pathway this fits better, please point me to it clearly, with specifics, not a generic referral.

I'm asking you to treat this like a real safety situation, because that is what it is.

Regards,

Anthony Brodie

Owner and Developer – Legion Killfeed

From: Cyber Abuse <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>

Sent: Wednesday, 17 December 2025 12:21 PM

To: Anthony Brodie

Subject: Your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner - our reference ACA-2025-0729927 [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive] CRM:0190737

Dear Anthony

Thank you for your emails. We're very sorry to hear that this has happened to you and we understand how upsetting an experience like this can be.

Unfortunately, even when considering the new material that you have provided us, we are unable investigate this report under this scheme and will not be able to assist you with this matter. We understand that this is likely not what you want to hear and that our conclusion may be difficult for you to understand.

For your information, no contact has been made with Trustpilot regarding this matter.

You may wish to seek independent legal advice regarding your concerns with Trustpilot.

This kind of experience can be distressing and can have an impact on your wellbeing. If you need support and would like to speak to someone about what has been happening, we recommend you reach out to [Beyond Blue](#) (1300 224 636) or [Lifeline](#) (13 11 14).

There are also lots of great online resources for helping people deal with the sort of feelings they may have been experiencing as a result of cyber abuse, such as [This Way Up](#) or [Head to Health](#).

Kind regards

Cyber Abuse Team

The eSafety Commissioner

W www.esafety.gov.au



NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Re: Your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner - our reference ACA-2025-0729927 [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive] CRM:0190768

From Soul Legion

Date Tue 2025-12-23 5:43 PM

To Cyber Abuse <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>

 7 attachments (29 MB)

2025-12-23-lumen-defamation-complaint-to-google.pdf; 2025-12-23-google-take-down-7-4274000039113.pdf; 2025-12-22-kamis-fraud-dmca-aggropvp-dot-com.pdf; 2025-12-22-google-take-down-7-4274000039113.pdf; 2025-12-22-response-to-skynet-vs-legion-dot-com.pdf; 2025-12-22-discord-bot-comparison-skynet-vs-legion-dot-com.pdf; 2025-12-22-dmca-rick-rolled.mp4;

Re: Formal complaint about handling and closure decision (ACA-2025-0729927, CRM:0190734) and failure to assess linked conduct (ACA-2024-0528878, CRM:0151474)

Dear Cyber Abuse Team,

You're joking right? Are you that stupid you can't see this harassment for what it is? What's point in paying taxes when employees who's wages rely on our taxes can't do their fucking job without being forced to. I'll report the whole ass department for fraud. Because if you aren't doing what you advertise, you're obtaining funds in a fraudulent manner and that's criminal behaviour.

1. You already treated this as serious targeted abuse in 2024, then you stopped

In ACA-2024-0528878, your office engaged Discord about the publication of my home address and an image involving my children, advised there had been back and forth with Discord, and confirmed removal. You then told me that if further content targeting me appeared online, I should submit a new complaint if I did not get a satisfactory response from the platform.

That is exactly what happened. The conduct continued, escalated, and spread across platforms.

2. Your "reputational harm" framing is not credible on these facts

Your closure email states the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme is for "seriously harmful online content targeting Australian adults" and that the Act is not designed to assist with material intended to cause "purely reputational harm."

My report is not a request to remove ordinary criticism, business reviews, or general defamation in isolation. It is a documented, coordinated, multi-platform course of conduct that includes doxxing, targeting involving my children, and behaviour intended to menace, harass, and psychologically break me.

If you maintain that this does not meet the threshold, you need to explain which element you claim is missing, because the position you have taken is incompatible with your own prior actions on this same pattern of conduct (address publication plus child-targeted imagery) and your instruction to re-lodge when it reappeared.

3. New escalation: abuse weaponising "legal process" and mocking takedown systems

As part of the ongoing campaign, one competitor-controlled site is now presenting a DMCA "legal notice" page claiming content was "permanently removed" under the DMCA, referencing case DMCA-2024-RR-001987 and then immediately "Redirecting to Legal Information Portal." that actually lands on a "Rick Roll" video.

Whether or not copyright law is within your remit, the use of faux legal notices, intimidation-by-process, and deliberate baiting is plainly part of targeted menacing and harassing conduct. Treating this as "reputational harm" is an avoidance of the core safety issue.

4. Complaint about eSafety's basic duty to assess and give reasons

Your reporting tools and templates appear to be driving closure outcomes that ignore the "pattern of behaviour" reality. In my reply I explicitly asked for reconsideration in context, not a fragmented single-item assessment, and I asked for specific reasons so I can provide what you claim you need.

Instead, I received a generic scope explanation and closure, without a clear statement of which criterion you say is not met and why.

5. Public accountability and escalation

eSafety publicly represents that this scheme exists to address seriously harmful targeted abuse against Australian adults.

If eSafety refuses to apply that scheme to a sustained campaign involving doxxing and targeting involving children, then at minimum the public-facing representation of what this scheme does versus what it actually delivers becomes materially misleading. That raises serious questions of maladministration, and potentially of public funds being obtained and retained on a premise that is not being honoured in practice.

If this matter is not reopened and properly assessed as linked conduct, I will escalate a complaint to the Commonwealth Ombudsman and request investigation of eSafety’s administration of the Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme in this matter. I will also consider referral to other integrity oversight bodies if the explanation you provide indicates systemic avoidance rather than a good-faith assessment.


What I require from eSafety, in writing:

- 1. Reopen and reassess ACA-2025-0729927 in context as linked to ACA-2024-0528878.
- 2. Identify precisely which criterion you say is not met (targeting, intent to cause serious harm, or “menacing/harassing/offensive in all circumstances”), and the reasons for that conclusion.
- 3. Confirm what format you will accept to assess a pattern of behaviour properly (single chronology with key URLs, curated bundle, secure upload method, etc).
- 4. Confirm what pathway you will use where content involves my children, and what you require from me to action that pathway.
- 5. Confirm preservation of records for ACA-2025-0729927 and the linked prior matter, including internal notes and platform correspondence.


I have extensive documentation across multiple platforms over multiple years, but I will not keep re-submitting fragments into forms that structurally prevent you from assessing what is actually occurring.



This shit with the fucking address & targeting my fiance & hosting intimate images of myself.
How the fuck is that outside your shit you absolute fucking morons.



What about this shit you fucking absolute smooth brained fuckwit.



Is this fucking clear enough for you yet? Or do I have to go to extremes?



Fuck you,
ANTHONY BRODIE

Owner and Developer, Legion Killfeed

[Click here if you're not a fucking idiot.](#)

From: Cyber Abuse <cyberabuse@esafety.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 23 December 2025 4:07 PM

To: Anthony Brodie

Subject: Your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner - our reference ACA-2025-0729927 [SEC=OFFICIAL:Sensitive] CRM:0190768

Dear Anthony

We refer to your complaint to the eSafety Commissioner (eSafety) on 12 December 2025 and subsequent email correspondence.

As requested in your email on 17 December 2025, your complaint was re-assessed by a Senior Investigator and we are not satisfied that the material you reported is or was cyber-abuse targeted at an Australian adult.

We are sorry that eSafety is unable to resolve the issues raised in your email and acknowledge that these may continue to be of strong concern to you.

For clarity, when eSafety receives a report relating to cyber-abuse material targeting an Australian adult, eSafety first assesses whether the material meets the legislative definition for cyber-abuse.

The purpose of the adult cyber abuse scheme is to remove seriously harmful online content targeting Australian adults. We are only able to seek the removal of material that meets the criteria of 'cyber abuse' under the *Online Safety Act 2021* (the Act).

To meet the criteria for adult cyber abuse, the material must target a particular Australian adult and is:

1. Intended to cause serious harm, and
2. Menacing, harassing or offensive in all circumstances.

If the material only meets one of these two criteria it will not be considered adult cyber abuse under the Act. The term 'adult cyber abuse' is reserved for the most severely abusive material intended to cause serious psychological or physical harm. This would include material which sets out realistic threats, places people in real danger, is excessively malicious or is unrelenting.

The Act is not designed to assist with the removal of material that is intended to cause purely reputational harm. For example, negative online comments about a business or false statements about a person's criminal history or character will generally not meet the threshold for eSafety to take removal action. For further information, we recommend reading our Regulatory Guidance, which can be found and downloaded under the heading 'Adult Cyber Abuse Scheme' on this page <https://www.esafety.gov.au/sites/default/files/2025-01/Adult-Cyber-Abuse-Scheme-Regulatory-Guidance-January2025.pdf?v=1747273830928> and the blog on our website <https://www.esafety.gov.au/newsroom/blogs/difference-between-serious-online-abuse-and-defamation>

For more information about what the adult cyber abuse team does and doesn't do please refer to our website <https://www.esafety.gov.au/key-topics/adult-cyber-abuse/what-we-do-and-dont-do>

If you are dissatisfied with the way that the eSafety Commissioner handled this matter, you can make a complaint to eSafety using the 'contact us' form on the eSafety Commissioner's website so that we can try to help resolve any issues. If you are still dissatisfied, you may make a complaint to the Commonwealth Ombudsman. The Ombudsman usually prefers that your concerns are raised with the eSafety Commissioner first. There is a Commonwealth Ombudsman Office in each capital city. Further information may be obtained at www.ombudsman.gov.au.

Kind regards

Cyber Abuse Team

The eSafety Commissioner

W www.esafety.gov.au



NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.